



Mock Ballot for the 2006 General Election

NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE

VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

1. Complete the appropriate arrow like this 
2. Use pencil or black ink.

CANDIDATES FOR FEDERAL OFFICES

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 1 Vote for One

DERRICK KITTS Republican ← →

DAVID WU Democrat ← →

DEAN WOLF Constitution ← →

DRAKE DAVIS Libertarian ← →

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 2 Vote for One

JACK ALAN BROWN, JR Constitution ← →

CAROL VOISIN Democrat ← →

GREG WALDEN Republican ← →

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 3 Vote for One

EARL BLUMENAUER Democrat ← →

DAVID BROWNLOW Constitution ← →

BRUCE BROUSSARD Republican ← →

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 4 Vote for One

JIM FELDKAMP Republican ← →

PETER DEFAZIO Democrat ← →

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 5 Vote for One

MIKE ERICKSON Republican ← →

DOUGLAS PATTERSON Constitution ← →

PAUL ARANAS Pacific Green ← →

DARLENE HOOLEY Democrat ← →

CANDIDATES FOR STATEWIDE OFFICES

GOVERNOR

Vote for One

TED KULONGOSKI Democrat ← →

JOE KEATING Pacific Green ← →

RICHARD MORLEY Libertarian ← →

MARY STARRETT Constitution ← →

RON SAXTON Republican ← →

JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT, POS. 6

Vote for One

VIRGINIA L. LINDER Nonpartisan ← →

JACK ROBERTS Nonpartisan ← →

NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE

VOTE FOUR SIDES

NATIONAL ISSUES

Select ONE answer to each question



**NOT FOR
OFFICIAL USE**

Question 1:

Of the Issues below, check the box next to the one you feel is the most important issue facing our nation today:

- a. The war in Iraq.
- b. Immigration reform.
- c. Energy and the environment.
- d. America's economy.
- e. Other. Please specify _____

Question 2: The War in Iraq

Which statement do you agree with the most?

- a. The war in Iraq was a mistake, and America should bring home its troops immediately.
- b. We have removed Saddam Hussein, a dangerous dictator, and we should not withdraw from Iraq until peace-loving Iraqis are able to defend themselves from the terrorists and go forward with their democracy.
- c. We have no business forcing democracy on Iraq or any other country.
- d. If we show the terrorists enough love and forgiveness, eventually they will surrender their weapons and become law-abiding citizens.
- e. I don't know.

Question 3: Immigration Reform

Which statement do you agree with most?

- a. Immigration reform is not really needed.
- b. It would be a good way to get rid of all the illegal aliens who have come to our country uninvited.
- c. Build strong border fences and station police and our military along our 2,000-mile border with Mexico since that is where most aliens cross.
- d. To protect ourselves from terrorists' crossing into the U.S., we should maintain control of our borders and those who enter, but Mexico is our neighbor and friend and hopefully immigration reform will allow Mexican citizens who are needed as workers or have relatives here to enter our country legally.
- e. I don't know.

Question 4:

Energy and the Environment

Which statement do you agree with most?

- a. Global warming may be a problem some day, but we should let the people of the future deal with it when that day comes.
- b. Global warming is hogwash, just something politicians like to argue about.
- c. Global warming is such a great threat to the entire world that we should stop burning petroleum or coal in any form and convert all engines – in automobiles, trains, planes, ships and factories – to operate on solar energy or burn clean fuels such as hydrogen.
- d. Global warming is a threat and the United States and China, in particular, should do all in their power to cooperate in reducing emissions and clean up the environment.
- e. I don't know.

Question 5: America's Economy

Which statement do you agree with most?

- a. America's economy is in such great shape that the rest of the world should follow our example and do as we do.
- b. America's economy is challenged as never before by a number of forces including globalization – competition from other nations – and because our economy is the strongest, we should just ignore other nations and peoples and let them take care of themselves.
- c. We must take the time to watch our elected leaders to see if they spend wisely without burdening us and future generations with debt, and then, for those who meet that test, we can decide if they deserve our vote on Election Day.
- d. We should demand even more tax cuts from our leaders and vote for those who cut taxes the most.
- e. I don't know.

VOTE FOUR SIDES



VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

1. Complete the appropriate arrow like this
2. Use pencil or black ink.



STATE MEASURES

MEASURE 42

PROHIBITS INSURANCE COMPANIES FROM USING CREDIT SCORE OR "CREDIT WORTHINESS" IN CALCULATING RATES OR PREMIUMS

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote prohibits insurance companies and their agents from using the credit score or "credit worthiness" of insure or applicant in calculating rates or premiums.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing law, which restricts, but does not prohibit, the use of credit scores or "credit worthiness" in calculating insurance rates or premiums.

SUMMARY: Current state law requires certain disclosures before a consumer's credit history may be obtained by an insurance company or agent and provides certain restrictions on the use of a consumer's credit history in determining insurance rates. This measure prohibits insurance companies and agents that sell or market medical, health, accident, automobile, fire, or liability insurance, or any combination of policies providing such coverage to consumers from quoting, offering, or charging, directly or indirectly, rates or premiums based solely or in part upon the credit score or "credit worthiness" of an insured or an applicant for insurance. The measure does not apply to policies already in effect, but it shall apply to all policies commenced, changed, amended, or renewed after the measure's effective date. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

YES ← →

NO ← →

MEASURE 44

ALLOWS ANY OREGON RESIDENT WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE TO PARTICIPATE IN OREGON PRESCRIPTION DRUG PROGRAM

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote expands eligibility to participate in Oregon Prescription Drug Program to Oregon residents who have no prescription drug coverage (except Medicare), eliminating current restrictions.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current law limiting participation in Oregon Prescription Drug Program to Oregon residents over age 54 who meet income limit, past coverage limitation.

SUMMARY: Existing law authorizes the Oregon Prescription Drug Program, which is intended to reduce prescription drug costs and to make prescription drugs available to participants at the lowest possible cost. Existing law authorizes the program's administrator, among other things, to negotiate price discounts, to purchase prescription drugs on behalf of participants, and to reimburse pharmacies. Under current law, Oregon residents over age 54 whose gross annual income does not exceed 185 percent of the federal poverty guidelines and who have not had private prescription drug benefit coverage for the past 6 months are eligible to participate in the Program. Measure eliminates those restrictions and expands Program eligibility to all Oregon residents who have no prescription drug coverage except Medicare Part D. Provides no funding. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no direct financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenue.

YES ← →

NO ← →

NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE

VOTE FOUR SIDES



VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Complete the appropriate arrow like this
2. Use pencil or black ink.



STATE MEASURES (Cont.)

MEASURE 48 (c)

AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITES BIENNIAL PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN STATE SPENDING TO PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN STATE POPULATION, PLUS INFLATION

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote amends constitution to limit the percentage increase in state spending from biennium to biennium to the percentage increase in state population plus inflation.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing statute capping appropriations on basis of personal income in Oregon; rejects adding constitutional provision limiting spending increases to population increase, inflation.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Oregon statute currently limits state appropriations to 8% of projected personal income in Oregon (with certain exceptions). If Governor declares emergency, legislature may exceed current statutory appropriations limit by 60% vote of each house. Measure adds constitutional provision limiting increase in state spending from one biennium to next biennium to percentage increase in state population, plus inflation, over previous two years. Certain exceptions to limit, including spending of: federal, donated funds; proceeds from selling certain bonds, real property; money to fund emergency funds; money to fund tax, "kicker," other refunds. Measure provides that spending limit may be exceeded by amount approved by two-thirds of each house of legislature and approved by majority of voters voting in general election. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: The measure puts a new limit on state budget spending for each two-year budget. It is unclear when the measure would first apply. If it first applies to the 2007-2009 budget, the measure would reduce money available to fund state services by \$2.2 billion. If it first applies to the current budget, state spending must be reduced by \$2.5 billion by July 2007, and expected spending must be reduced by \$4.9 billion for 2007- 2009.

The state budget now pays for public schools, health care, prisons, roads, bridges, forest fire protection and other services. In addition, the state transfers approximately 2/3 of its funds to cities, counties, school districts, and health care providers. The measure does not specify which programs would be affected by the spending limit. The measure will limit state bond programs and will have a negative impact on the state's credit rating.

The measure does not directly limit local government spending. (See the Voter's Pamphlet for explanation of this financial estimate)

YES [arrow] [arrow]
NO [arrow] [arrow]

(c) denotes that the measure is a Constitutional Amendment

NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE

VOTE FOUR SIDES